

**To:** Purchia, Liz[Purchia.Liz@epa.gov]; Lee, Monica[Lee.Monica@epa.gov]  
**Cc:** Smith, Roxanne[Smith.Roxanne@epa.gov]  
**From:** Hart, Daniel  
**Sent:** Wed 8/12/2015 1:09:59 PM  
**Subject:** FW: Data flow between UAC, EU's and HQ EOC

It's important to read through this as this will no doubt color the SM discussion (relative to data at least) as well.

**From:** "Tulis, Dana" <[Tulis.Dana@epa.gov](mailto:Tulis.Dana@epa.gov)>  
**Date:** August 12, 2015 at 6:08:44 AM EDT  
**To:** "Hull, George" <[Hull.George@epa.gov](mailto:Hull.George@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** "EOC\_Manager," <[EOC\\_Manager@epa.gov](mailto:EOC_Manager@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Data flow between UAC, EU's and HQ EOC

George, is OPA good with this?

Dana S. Tulis

Deputy Office Director

Office of Emergency Management

US EPA

202-564-7938

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Tulis, Dana" <[Tulis.Dana@epa.gov](mailto:Tulis.Dana@epa.gov)>  
**Date:** August 12, 2015 at 5:56:11 AM EDT  
**To:** "Stanislaus, Mathy" <[Stanislaus.Mathy@epa.gov](mailto:Stanislaus.Mathy@epa.gov)>  
**Cc:** "Natarajan, Nitin" <[Natarajan.Nitin@epa.gov](mailto:Natarajan.Nitin@epa.gov)>, "Cheatham, Reggie" <[cheatham.reggie@epa.gov](mailto:cheatham.reggie@epa.gov)>, "EOC\_Manager," <[EOC\\_Manager@epa.gov](mailto:EOC_Manager@epa.gov)>, "Breen, Barry" <[Breen.Barry@epa.gov](mailto:Breen.Barry@epa.gov)>, "Woodyard, Josh" <[Woodyard.Joshua@epa.gov](mailto:Woodyard.Joshua@epa.gov)>, "Smith, Terry" <[Smith.Terry@epa.gov](mailto:Smith.Terry@epa.gov)>, EOC Environmental Unit <[EOC\\_Environmental\\_Unit@epa.gov](mailto:EOC_Environmental_Unit@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** Re: Data flow between UAC, EU's and HQ EOC

Sure, the screening level status we need to get back to you on after the noon EU call.

Josh, we need to circulate the statement below.

Dana S. Tulis

Deputy Office Director

Office of Emergency Management

US EPA

202-564-7938

On Aug 11, 2015, at 11:56 PM, "Stanislaus, Mathy"  
<[Stanislaus.Mathy@epa.gov](mailto:Stanislaus.Mathy@epa.gov)> wrote:

I suggest providing it UAC, Regional EU, ORD to verify agreement.

Sent from my Windows Phone

---

**From:** [Tulis, Dana](#)

**Sent:** 8/11/2015 10:47 PM

**To:** [Stanislaus, Mathy](#); [Natarajan, Nitin](#)

**Cc:** [Cheatham, Reggie](#); [EOC Manager,](#); [Breen, Barry](#)

**Subject:** Data flow between UAC, EU's and HQ EOC

Mathy and Nitin,

Please see below. Reggie and I worked this per the 6 pm tonight.

The following outlines the process for validating, verifying, interpreting data, and preparing statements for the web for the response at Gold King Mine. As agreed to by HQ EOC, UAC, Regions 6, 8 and 9, the regional EOC environmental units (EU) will follow the data format used during the Deepwater Horizon response and will conduct level 2 validation on data collected and analyzed during the response. Screening levels will be part of the data packages. Each EU will submit their validated data to the UAC with a concurrent courtesy copy sent to the HQ EOC for verification. The UAC PIO will develop key messages about the data and coordinate those messages with the HQ EOC PIO. Data displays for 5 Key COC's, GIS overlays, and red, yellow, green color coding will be part of the data packages. The UAC PIO will post the data on the EPA Gold King Mine website. Notification prior to posting will be made via the UAC to States, Tribes, and congressional contacts and the HQ EOC. Trending messages will also be developed once there is sufficient data for the analysis.

Dana S. Tulis

Deputy Office Director

Office of Emergency Management

US EPA

202-564-7938